UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,144	10/17/2006	Iichirou Shimomura	64656 (46590)	9423
21874 7590 04/09/2009 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAMINER	
			LEE, JAE W	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/561,144	SHIMOMURA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAE W. LEE	1656	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02/</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 31,32 and 52-56 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15,18-28,33-38,4 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 31,32 and 52-56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	<u>1,42 and 45-51</u> is/are withdrawn fr	rom consideration.	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable. The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

DETAILED ACTION

Application status

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/19/2009 has been entered.

In response to the previous Office action, a final rejection (mailed on 08/05/2008), Applicants filed a response and amendment received on 02/19/2009. Said amendment canceled Claims 16, 17, 29, 30, 39, 40, 43 and 44, amended Claim 31, and added Claims 55-56. Thus, Claims 31, 32 and 52-56 are at issue and present for examination.

Applicants' arguments filed on 02/19/2009, have been fully considered, and are deemed to be persuasive to overcome some of the rejections previously applied.

Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in the instant action can be found in a prior Office action.

It is noted by the Examiner that Claims 1-15, 18-28, 33-38, 41, 42 and 45-51 are withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn

Art Unit: 1656

to a non-elected invention in the previous Office actions, a non-Final rejection (mailed on 09/19/2007).

Specification

The specification is objected to because the title, "Novel Protein", is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the elected claims are drawn (see M.P.E.P. 606.01).

Claim Objections

The previous objection of Claim 31 for reciting the phrase, "disease involved in differentiation of skeletal muscle cell and/or metabolic abnormality," is withdrawn by virtue of Applicants' amendment.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The previous rejection of Claims 31, 32 and 52-54 for reciting the phrase, "80% or more to the amino acid sequence starting at amino acid No. 1 in the amino acid sequence shown by SEQ ID NO: 2 or 4," is withdrawn because Applicants have deleted the noted phrase.

Art Unit: 1656

The previous rejection of Claims 31, 32 and 52-54 for reciting the phrase, "SEQ ID NO: 2 or 4, or a salt thereof, into contact," is withdrawn by virtue of Applicants' amendment.

Claims 31, 32 and 52-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 31, 32 and 52-56 recite the phrase "comprising an amino acid sequence having an identity of the amino acid sequence starting at amino acid No. 1 in the amino acid sequence shown by SEQ ID NO: 2 or 4" is unclear and indefinite. It is unclear what degree of identity, i.e., 0-100%, "an amino acid sequence" has. Since it appears that Applicants intention was to limit the scope of the protein "to the exact sequences of SEQ ID NO: 2 or 4" according to page 13, last paragraph of the Applicants' remarks, filed on 02/19/2009, and in the interest of advancing prosecution, the Examiner has interpreted the noted phrase as "comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 or 4". It is noted that failure to address this issue will result in rejections under 112 1st paragraph.

Claims 52 and 53 are unclear because the scope of claims 52 and 53 is either broader or does not further limit the scope of 31 which they depend from. Since the scope of "the protein" of claim 31 is interpreted as "comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 or 4" as noted above, the scope of claim 52, i.e., "having an identity of 90%…", is actually broader, and the scope of claim 53 does not further limit the scope

of claim 31. It is suggested that Applicants cancel these claims or clarify the scope of these claims so that it properly further limits claim 31. In the interest of advancing prosecution, claims 52 and 53 are not given any patentable weight.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The previous rejection of Claims 31, 32, 52 and 54 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, is withdrawn based on the Examiner's interpretation of claims 31, 32 and 52-56 (see above 112 2nd paragraph rejections).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

, application, control it

Art Unit: 1656

Claims 31, 32 and 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Lanctot et al. (US Patent Application Publication, US 2003/0125258, published Jul. 3, 2003).

The instant claims are drawn to a screening method for a prophylactic/therapeutic substance for a disease associated with abnormal differentiation of skeletal muscle cell and/or metabolic abnormality, which comprises bringing a protein, or a salt thereof, comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 or 4 into contact with its receptor in the presence or absence of a test substance, and selecting the test substance that changes the ability of said protein or salt thereof to bind to said receptor as a candidate for a prophylactic/therapeutic substance for a disease associated with abnormal differentiation of skeletal muscle cell and/or metabolic abnormality.

The rejection was stated in the previous office action as it applied to previous claims 31, 32 and 52-54. In response to this rejection, Applicants have amended claim 31, and added claims 55-56, and traverse the rejection as it applies to the newly amended claims.

The instant rejection of record is reiterated, with minor changes to reflect the new amendment to claims, for Applicants' convenience below.

The reference of Lanctot et al. specifically teaches a screening method comprising contacting BP-1 proteins, with a receptor in the presence of a molecule that may modulate binding of BP-1 proteins to a receptor (see paragraph [0144] under "x) Screening Methods Using BP-1 Products") for a disease associated with bone disorder

Art Unit: 1656

or osteoporosis. Further, as previously noted, the secreted human BP-1 protein taught by Lanctot et al. is identical to Applicants' SEQ ID NO: 2 or 4 (See SCORE, 20070817_151735_us-10-561-144-2.rag and 20070817_151735_us-10-561-144-4.rag).

Applicants argue that Lanctot et al. do not anticipate Claim 31 because it specifically refers to the limitation of "a disease associated with abnormal differentiation of skeletal muscle cell and/or metabolic abnormality" which is not taught by Lanctot et al.

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive for the following reasons. In the instant case, the active steps of the methods taught by Lanctot et al. are no different from those of Applicants' claimed methods (emphasis added). Further, while the limitation regarding "prophylactic/therapeutic substance" is in reference to the compound that modifies the binding activity of the protein to the receptor, the claim does not recite any active step wherein one selects a compound having the specific functional limitations, i.e., suppression of sugar uptake or glycogen synthesis under insulin stimulation in skeletal muscle cells, among other substances that modulate the binding activity of the protein to the receptor. In fact, the claim 31 recites that any compound that changes the binding activity of the protein to the receptor for any disease associated with any metabolic abnormality is a candidate for a "prophylactic/therapeutic substance." As such, the methods taught by Lanctot et al. meet the limitations of the claims 31, 32 and 52-54 because the test compound of Lanctot et al. is a candidate for a prophylactic/therapeutic substance for any disease associated with any metabolic

abnormality. Therefore, Lanctot et al. anticipate the claims 31, 32 and 52-54 for the reasons provided herein and in the previous office actions.

Conclusion

Claims 31, 32 and 52-56 are rejected for the reasons as stated above.

Applicants must respond to the objections/rejections in this Office action to be fully responsive in prosecution.

This office action is non-final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jae W. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-9949. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/561,144 Page 9

Art Unit: 1656

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JAE W LEE/

Examiner, Art Unit 1656

/Rebecca E. Prouty/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652